

r. Fred P. Hart
Associate Valuation Engineer
Valuation Division
State Board of Equalization
Sacramento 14, California

Dear Sir:

INCORPORATION - CITY OF
TEMPLE CITY

Proceedings for the Incorporation of the City of Temple City were completed and the city became incorporated on May 25, 1960 when the necessary documents were filed with the Secretary of State and the County Recorder according to provisions of Sections 34300 et seq. of the Government Code.

The enclosed tabulation indicates the effect of this city incorporation upon the special districts involved.

This statement, with the enclosed tabulation, legal description of boundary and map of the incorporation, is submitted to you for filing in compliance with the provisions of Title 5, Division 2, Part 1, Chapter 8 (Sections 54900 et seq.) of the Government Code.

Yours sincerely,

John A. Lambie
COUNTY ENGINEER

ORIGINAL SIGNED

RKW:RKH-ff
Encl. (3)

Robert K. Williams
Division Engineer

cc: J.R. Quinn, Co. Assr.
Wm. A. Thomson, Dist. Tax Admr.

cc: J.J. Stump, Dep. Assr.

B.B. Dickson
File (2)

RWC

JOHN A. LAMBIE
COUNTY ENGINEER
HARVEY T. BRANDT
CHIEF DEPUTY

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY ENGINEER

LAWRENCE D. MOORE
DIVISION ENGINEER
RICHARD E. GARCIA
ASSISTANT

MAPPING DIVISION
108 WEST SECOND STREET
LOS ANGELES 12, CALIFORNIA
MADISON 9-4747

June 6, 1960

SUBJECT Incorporation of the City of Temple City

County Departments and Districts
Agricultural Commissioner
Auditor
California Highway Patrol
Flood Control
Forester and Fire Warden
Health-Division of Vital Records
Judicial District
Los Angeles City Board of Education
Parks and Recreation
Pound Department
Public Library
Regional Planning
Registrar of Voters
Road
Sanitation
Sheriff
State Alcoholic Beverage Control
Superintendent of Schools
Tax Collector

Gentlemen:

Proceedings for the Incorporation of the City of Temple City were completed and the territory became a city on May 25, 1960 when the necessary documents were filed by the Secretary of State and the County Recorder.

Yours very truly,

John A. Lambie
COUNTY ENGINEER

Robert K. Williams
Robert K. Williams
Division Engineer

INCORPORATION OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY

Filed with the Secretary of State: May 25, 1960
Filed with the County Recorder: May 25, 1960

The territory covered by this incorporation will be automatically affected as follows:

Road District No. 1-----	Withdrawn From
County Public Library Tax-----	No Change
Consolidated County Fire Protection District-----	No Change
L. A. County Flood Control District-----	No Change
Rosemead Lighting District-----	No Change
Longden Lighting District-----	No Change
County Lighting Maintenance District No. 540-----	No Change
County Lighting Maintenance District No. 1395-----	No Change
Park Recreation & Parkway District No. 8 Rosemead Area--	No Change
County Sanitation District No. 15-----	No Change
Unified Sewer Maintenance District-----	No Change
San Gabriel County Water District-----	No Change
Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District-----	No Change

SCHOOL DISTRICTS

Temple City Unified School District-----	No Change
Temple City Unified School District, Annex "A"-----	No Change
Arcadia Unified School District-----	No Change
San Gabriel School District-----	No Change
San Gabriel School District, BLO 1-----	No Change
Rosemead School District-----	No Change
Rosemead School District, BLO 1-----	No Change
El Monte School District-----	No Change
El Monte School District, BLO 1-----	No Change
Alhambra City High School District-----	No Change
Alhambra City High School District, BLO 1-----	No Change
El Monte Union High School District-----	No Change
El Monte Union High School District, BLO 2-----	No Change
El Monte Union High School District, BLO 3-----	No Change
Pasadena City High School District, BLO 1-----	No Change
Pasadena City Junior College District-----	No Change

JUDICIAL DISTRICTS

Alhambra Judicial District-----	No Change
El Monte Judicial District-----	No Change
Northeast Superior Court District-----	No Change

DESCRIPTION OF [REDACTED]
INCORPORATION OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY

Filed with the Secretary (Alternate Description) Filed with the County
of State: May 25, 1960 Recorder: May 25, 1960
Containing: 3.604 Square Miles

That parcel of land in the County of Los Angeles, State of California
included within the following described boundary:

Beginning at the most northerly corner of that portion of Rosemead Boulevard described in quitclaim deed to the State of California recorded in book 15638 page 322 of Official Records in the office of the County Recorder of said County; thence southerly along the westerly line of said Rosemead Boulevard to the southerly line of the land shown as Parcel 72 on map filed in book 12 page 21 of Record of Surveys in said County Recorder's office; thence southerly at right angles to said southerly line to the northerly boundary of the City of Rosemead as the same existed on August 12, 1959; thence easterly along said northerly boundary to the most northerly corner of Lot 2 of Tract No. 14535 as shown on map recorded in book 298 pages 6 and 7 of Maps in said County Recorder's office; thence easterly along the northerly line of said Lot 2 to the boundary of the City of El Monte as the same existed on August 12, 1959; thence northerly along said last mentioned boundary and following the same in all its various courses to the southeasterly corner of Annexation No. 182 to the City of El Monte as described in Ordinance No 879 of said City of El Monte, a copy of which was filed on July 8, 1959 in said County Recorder's office; thence easterly parallel with the center line of Lower Azusa Road, shown as Lower Azusa County Road on map of Gidley-Peirson Tract recorded in book 21 page 64 of said Maps, to the northwesterly corner of Lot 4 of said last mentioned tract, being an angle point in said boundary of the City of El Monte; thence

INCORPORATION OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY (CONT.)

easterly along said last mentioned boundary to the northerly prolongation of a line parallel with and distant westerly 40 feet, measured at right angles, from the easterly line of Lot 11 of said last mentioned tract; thence easterly along the southerly line of said Lower Azusa Road and along the easterly prolongation of said last mentioned southerly line to the westerly line of the land described in deed to Frances K. Clayton recorded in book 30618 page 189 of said Official Records, being in said boundary of the City of El Monte; thence easterly along said last mentioned boundary and following the same in all its various courses to a line parallel with and distant westerly 40 feet, measured at right angles, from the westerly line of Tract No. 15360 as shown on map recorded in book 333 pages 11 and 12 of said Maps; thence easterly parallel with the center line of Lower Azusa Road, as shown on said last mentioned map, to said boundary of the City of El Monte; thence northerly along said last mentioned boundary and following the same in all its various courses to the northwesterly corner of Tract No. 17213 as shown on map recorded in book 410 pages 7 and 8 of said Maps; thence easterly in a direct line to the northwesterly corner of the land described in deed recorded in book 23281 page 329 of said Official Records, being an angle point in said boundary of the City of El Monte; thence easterly along said last mentioned boundary and following the same in all its various courses to the westerly line of Double Drive as described in deed recorded in book 11787 page 56 of said Official Records; thence easterly along the easterly prolongation of the southerly line of Grand Avenue as shown on map of Tract No. 13363

██████ INCORPORATION OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY (CONT.)

recorded in book 267 page 25 of said Maps to the center line of Double Drive as said last mentioned center line is shown on said map of Tract No. 13363; thence northerly along said last mentioned center line to and along that line shown as "center line of Double Drive as per H-41-3" on map of Tract No. 10898 recorded in book 189 pages 42 and 43 of said Maps to the easterly prolongation of the course in the northerly line of Lot 7 in Block A of said Tract No. 10898 shown on said last mentioned map as having a bearing of N. 80° 02' E.; thence westerly along said last mentioned easterly prolongation and along the southerly line of Live Oak Avenue as shown on said last mentioned map to the northwesterly corner of said Lot 7; thence southerly along the westerly line of said Lot 7 to the southwesterly corner of said Lot 7; thence westerly along the northerly lines of Lots 8 and 31 in Block A of said Tract No. 10898 and along the westerly prolongation of the northerly line of said Lot 31 to the westerly line of said Tract No. 10898, being in the center line of Welland Avenue, 60 feet wide; thence northerly along said last mentioned westerly line to the easterly prolongation of the northerly line of Lot 4 of Tract No. 10260 as shown on map recorded in book 219 page 20 of said Maps; thence westerly along said last mentioned easterly prolongation and northerly line to the southwesterly corner of Lot 1 of said Tract No. 10260; thence northerly along the westerly line of said last mentioned Lot 1 to the northeasterly corner of Lot 5 of Tract No. 12049 as shown on map recorded in book 224 page 21 of said Maps; thence westerly along the northerly line of said Lot 5 and along the westerly prolongation of said last mentioned northerly

INCORPORATION OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY (CONT.)

line to the westerly line of McCulloch Avenue as shown on said last mentioned map; thence northerly along said last mentioned westerly line to the southerly line of Live Oak Avenue as shown on said last mentioned map; thence westerly along said southerly line of Live Oak Avenue to the northeasterly corner of Lot 9 of Tract No. 13935 as shown on map recorded in book 285 pages 13 and 14 of said Maps; thence southerly along the easterly line of said Tract No. 13935 to the northeasterly corner of Lot 28 of said last mentioned tract; thence westerly along the northerly lines of Lots 28, 19, and 10 of said Tract No. 13935 and along prolongations of said last mentioned northerly lines to the center line of El Monte Avenue as said last mentioned center line is shown on said last mentioned map; thence southerly along said last mentioned center line to a point distant southerly 330 feet measured along said last mentioned center line from the easterly prolongation of the southerly line of Live Oak Avenue as shown on map of Tract No. 12619 recorded in book 259 page 42 of said Maps; thence westerly 230 feet parallel with said last mentioned southerly line; thence northerly parallel with said last mentioned center line to the southerly boundary of the City of Arcadia as the same existed on August 12, 1959; thence westerly along said boundary of the City of Arcadia and following the same in all its various courses to the southeasterly corner of Lot 7 in block G of the Santa Anita Land Company's Tract as shown on map recorded in book 6 page 137 of said Maps; thence westerly parallel with the center line of Lemon Avenue as shown on said last mentioned map to the south westerly corner of Lot 7 in block F of said last mentioned tract;

INCORPORATION OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY (CONT.)

thence northerly parallel with the center line of Temple City Boulevard (shown as Sunset Blvd. on said last mentioned map) to the southwesterly corner of Lot 7 in block B of said last mentioned tract; thence westerly parallel with the center line of Camino Real as shown on said last mentioned map to the southwest corner of Lot 7 in block C of said last mentioned tract; thence westerly along the westerly prolongation of the southerly line of said Lot 7 in block C to the westerly line of Lot 1 in block D of said last mentioned tract; thence southerly along said last mentioned westerly line to the most northerly corner of Lot 62 of Sunny Slope Vineyard Subdivision No. 2 as shown on map recorded in book 10 page 181 of said Maps; thence westerly along the northerly lines of Lots 62, 61 and 60 of said Sunny Slope Vineyard Subdivision No. 2 to the southerly prolongation of that course in the westerly line of Rosemead Boulevard described as having a bearing of S. 7° 40' 00" W. and a length of 76.45 feet in deed to the State of California recorded in book 34401 page 340 of said Official Records; thence southerly in a direct line to an angle point in the easterly line of Tract No. 15813 as shown on map recorded in book 413 pages 3 and 4 of said Maps, said angle point being shown on said last mentioned map as being distant N. 7° 10' 05" E. 96.94 feet from the easterly prolongation of the southerly line of Lot 8 of said last mentioned tract; thence southerly along the westerly line of Rosemead Boulevard as shown on said last mentioned map to the southerly end of that course in said last mentioned westerly line described as having a bearing of S. 7° 40' 00" W. and a length of 114.51 feet in deed to the State of California recorded in book

INCORPORATION OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY (CONT.)

32604 page 312 of said Official Records; thence southerly in a direct line to the northerly end of that course in the westerly line of Rosemead Boulevard, 100 feet wide, described as having a bearing of N. 7° 40' 00" E. in deed to the State of California recorded in book 33259 page 276 of said Official Records; thence southerly along the westerly line of Rosemead Boulevard, 100 feet wide, to the northwesterly corner of the land described as Parcel No. 1 in deed to the State of California recorded in book 29691 page 310 of said Official Records; thence southerly along the westerly line of said Parcel No. 1 to the southwesterly corner of said Parcel No. 1; thence southerly along the southerly continuation of a curve in the westerly line of said Parcel No. 1, concave easterly and having a radius of 4050 feet, to the northwesterly corner of the land described in deed to the State of California recorded in book 18752 page 370 of said Official Records; thence southerly along the westerly line of said last mentioned land and along the westerly line of Rosemead Boulevard as described in deed to the State of California recorded in book 18962 page 85 of said Official Records and in deed to the State of California recorded in book 15486 page 2 of said Official Records to the southwesterly corner of the land described in said last mentioned deed; thence southerly in a direct line to the southwesterly corner of the easterly 20 feet of Lot 74 of Tract No. 5904, Sheet No. 1, as shown on map recorded in book 69 page 29 of said Maps; thence westerly along the southerly lines of Lots 74 and 73 of said last mentioned tract and along the westerly prolongation of the southerly line of said Lot 73 to the southeasterly corner of Lot 45 of said

INCORPORATION OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY (CONT.)

Tract No. 5904; thence southerly along the southerly prolongation of the easterly line of said Lot 45, and along the westerly line of Reno Avenue as shown on said last mentioned map to the southeasterly corner of Lot 132 of said last mentioned tract. thence southerly in a direct line to the northwesterly corner of the most northerly strip of land described in deed to said County recorded in book 6182 page 25 of said Official Records; thence southerly along the westerly line of said strip of land and along the southerly prolongation of said last mentioned westerly line, to the southerly line of that portion of Las Tunas Drive shown as Parcel 83 on Sheet 1 of Clerk's Filed Map No. 1660 recorded in said County Recorder's office, and as described in Final Judgment rendered in Case No 269,622 of the Superior Court of said State of California, in and for said County of Los Angeles,^a copy of said Final Judgment being recorded in book 12289 page 277 of said Official Records; thence westerly along the southerly lines of Parcels 83, 82, 81, 80B, 80 and 80A as shown on said last mentioned map, to the westerly line of Eaton Wash as described in deed to Los Angeles County Flood Control District recorded in book 7230 page 110 of Deeds in said County Recorder's office; thence southerly along said last mentioned westerly line to the northerly line of Broadway as shown on map of Tract No 3623 recorded in book 40 page 52 of said Maps; thence southerly in a direct line to the northwesterly corner of the land described in deed to Los Angeles County Flood Control District recorded in book 11200 page 4 of said Official Records; thence southerly and easterly along the boundary of said last mentioned land to the most westerly corner of the land

■■■■■ INCORPORATION OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY (CONT.)

described as Parcel 2 in deed to Los Angeles County Flood Control District recorded in book 7229 page 328 of said Official Records; thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of said last mentioned land to the westerly line of the land described in deed to Los Angeles County Flood Control District recorded in book 10853 page 166 of said Official Records; thence southerly and easterly along the boundary of said last mentioned land to the most easterly corner of the land described as Parcel 1 in said deed to Los Angeles County Flood Control District recorded in book 7229 page 328 of said Official Records; thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of said last mentioned land to a westerly line of the land described in said deed to Los Angeles County Flood Control District recorded in book 10853 page 166 of said Official Records; thence southerly and easterly along the boundary of said last mentioned land to the southwesterly line of the land described in deed to Los Angeles County Flood Control District recorded in book 16031 page 364 of said Official Records; thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of said last mentioned land to the easterly line of the land shown as Parcel 45 on said map filed in book 12 page 21 of Record of Surveys; thence southerly in a direct line to the point of beginning.

EXCEPT that portion thereof described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the boundary of the City of El Monte as it existed on August 12, 1959, said boundary also being the westerly line of Block F of Tract No. 4902 as per map recorded in book 96 page 13 of Maps in the office of the County Recorder of said

████████ INCORPORATION OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY (CONT.)

County with the easterly prolongation of the southerly line of Lot 30 of Tract No. 19189, as per map recorded in book 493 pages 23 and 24 of Maps in the office of the said Recorder, said southerly line having a bearing of N. 80° 03' 05" E. and a distance of 19.18 feet as shown on the map of said Tract No. 19189; thence westerly along said easterly prolongation and the southerly line of said Lot 30 to the easterly line of Fairhall Street as shown on the map of said Tract No. 19189; thence southerly, southwesterly and westerly along the easterly, southeasterly and southerly lines of said Fairhall Street to the northeasterly corner of Lot 10 of said Tract No. 19189; thence southerly in a direct line to the southeasterly corner of Lot 9 of said Tract No. 19189; thence southerly along the southerly prolongation of the easterly line of said Lot 9 to the southerly line of Lower Azusa Road, 60 feet wide as shown on the map of said Tract No. 19189, said southerly line of Lower Azusa Road being also the existing boundary of the City of El Monte; thence easterly and northerly along the said boundary of the City of El Monte to the point of beginning, and

ALSO EXCEPT that portion thereof described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the boundary of the City of El Monte as it existed on August 12, 1959, said point being the intersection of said boundary of the City of El Monte with the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of Lot 43 of Tract No. 13645 recorded in book 277 pages 46 and 47 of Maps in the office of the County Recorder of said County; thence northerly along said southerly prolongation


INCORPORATION OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY (CONT.)

and said westerly line of Lot 43 to the northwesterly corner of said Lot 43; thence easterly in a direct line to the northeasterly corner of said Lot 43; thence southerly along the easterly line of said Lot 43 to the southerly termination of the easterly line of said Lot 43, said easterly line as shown on map of said Tract No. 13645 has a bearing of N. 9° 45' 10" W and a distance of 54.39 feet; thence continuing southerly along the southerly prolongation of the easterly line of said Lot 43 to the said boundary of the City of El Monte; thence westerly along said boundary of the City of El Monte to the point of beginning.

DESCRIPTION APPROVED
SEP 1 1959
JOHN A. LAMBIE
COUNTY ENGINEER
BY *Richard M. Cron* DEPUTY

PROPOSED CITY OF TEMPLE CITY

CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY $3\frac{1}{4}$ SQ. MI.



JOHN A. LAMBIE
COUNTY ENGINEER
C. S. INDEX
44-C, D-3A; 46-A-3, 4

CITY OF TEMPLE CITY

(FILED WITH THE SECRETARY OF STATE MAY 25, 1960)

CONTAINING: 3.604 SQ. MI.



JOHN A. LAMBIE
COUNTY ENGINEER
C. S. INDEX
(44 E 46)

CITY OF ARCADIA


ROSEMEAD

CITY OF EL MONTE

WESTERN

TWO THIRDS RANCHO

BASSE
SUBDIVISION



October 9, 1959

Mr. L. S. Hollinger
Chief Administrative Officer
502 Hall of Records
Los Angeles 12, California

Attention Mr. Arthur G. Will
County-City Coordinator

Dear Sir:

PROPOSED INCORPORATION OF
THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY

Pursuant to your request of September 21, 1959, we have compiled the enclosed tabulation of special districts within the Proposed Incorporation of the City of Temple City.

Services provided by the County Engineer in this area are handled through the Temple City Regional office, 5908 Kauffman Avenue, Temple City.

Sincerely yours,

John A. Lambie
COUNTY ENGINEER

ORIGINAL SIGNED

L. D. Moore
Division Engineer

LDM:JLD-ff
Encl. (3)

cc: W.H. Krelle
W.K. Williams (Encl. 1)
File

June 24, 1970

Mr. Martin C. Rohrke
State Board of Equalization
Sacramento, California

Dear Mr. Rohrke:

CITY OF TEMPLE CITY - WITHDRAWAL
FROM COUNTY LIGHTING MAINTENANCE
DISTRICT NO. 1608

On February 17, 1970, the City Council of the City of Temple City passed Resolution No. 70-990 withdrawing from the Lighting Maintenance District No. 1608 all that portion of said district which lies within said city.

Complying with the provisions of Section 5853 of the Streets and Highways Code, a certified copy of above resolution was filed with the Board of Supervisors on February 27, 1970.

The withdrawal was effective on May 26, 1970, when contracts were modified as required by Section 5247 of the Streets and Highways Code.

These statements, with the enclosed map, resolution, and description of the territory involved, are submitted to you for filing in compliance with the provisions of Section 54900 et seq. of the Government Code.

Very truly yours,

John A. Lambie
COUNTY ENGINEER

ORIGINAL SIGNED

George J. Franceschini
Division Engineer

GJF:VA-sj 9
Enclosures

cc: Philip E. Watson, Co. Assr., R. B. Dickson, Dep. Assr.,
I. L. Morhar, H. E. Kroll, C. E. Tyler, J. R. Passarella,
City Clerk


dc: R. B. Dickson, File

DESCRIPTION OF TERRITORY WITHDRAWN
FROM COUNTY LIGHTING MAINTENANCE
DISTRICT NO. 1608 BY RESOLUTION
NO. 70-990 OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE
CITY

Pursuant to Resolution No. 70-990 of the City of the City of Temple City adopted on February 17, 1970, and filed with the Board of Supervisors on February 27, 1970, all that portion of the County Lighting Maintenance District No. 1608 which lies within said city was withdrawn.

The effective date of withdrawal was May 26, 1970, when the existing contracts were modified by the Board of Supervisors, in compliance with the provisions of Section 5847 of the Streets and Highways Code.

cc: Desc. Book
City Annex. File
Lighting Maint. File





LEGEND:
 EXISTING DISTRICT BOUNDARY
 BOUNDARY TO BE WITHDRAWN

JOHN A. LAMBIE
 COUNTY ENGINEER

WITHDRAWAL FROM
 C.L.M.D. NO 1608
 CITY OF TEMPLE CITY
 RESOLUTION NO 70-990

DRAWN BY C.M.R.	CHECKED BY K.F.	SCALE 1"=40'
DATE 3-10-70	DATE 3-11-70	C.S. INDEX 44(D-5)

Mayor
WILLIAM A. HARKER
Councilmen
PAUL W. BECKLEY
KENNETH C. BRIGGS
LOUIS W. MERRITT
JACK R. TYRELL
City Manager
KARL L. KOSKI



ENGINEER
REPLY ACTN INFO
MAR 5 3 09 PM '70
PREP REPLY
JAL LUM WAJ RKW
5938 NO. KAUFFMAN AVE. • TEMPLE CITY, CALIF. 91780 • (213) 285-2171

February 25, 1970

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
Room 383, Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

Attention: James S. Mize, Executive Officer

Gentlemen:

On October 7, 1969, the City Council of the City of Temple City adopted Resolution No. 69-955 establishing a city-wide lighting district; Resolution Nos. 69-956, 69-957, 69-958 and 69-959, withdrawing from four County Lighting Maintenance Districts; and Resolution No. 69-960 ordering the improvements within the city-wide lighting district to be carried out by Southern California Edison Company. Certified copies of these resolutions and maps were forwarded to you under date of December 1, 1969, with the withdrawal to be effective by December 31, 1969.

At the time of the formation of the city-wide lighting district for the City of Temple City, and withdrawal from four County Lighting Maintenance Districts, a 30' wide strip of County Lighting Maintenance District No. 1608, protruding into the boundaries of the City of Temple City on Lower Azusa Road at Pal Mal Avenue, in which no property owners are affected, was overlooked. At the regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Temple City held on Tuesday, February 17, 1970, Resolution No. 70-990 withdrawing that portion of County Lighting Maintenance District No. 1608, was adopted.

It is therefore requested that necessary action be taken by the County to modify existing lighting district contracts so that the withdrawal of the portion of County Maintenance Lighting District No. 1608 will be effective prior to December 31, 1970, and can be certified to the County Assessor and the State Board of Equalization prior to that time. A certified copy of Resolution No. 70-990, together with map is enclosed.

Sincerely,

Karl L. Koski
Karl L. Koski
City Manager

cc: Road Department
Southern California Edison Company
County Engineer, Mapping Division, Special District Section

Encs.
KLK/b

CALL CO. ENG. 3-5-70
COPY TO CO. ENG. 3-5-70
B/S Filing 2-27-70

RESOLUTION No. 70-990

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, WITHDRAWING THAT PORTION OF COUNTY LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT No. 1608 WHICH IS INCLUDED WITHIN THE TERRITORIAL LIMITS OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY DOES RESOLVE:

SECTION 1. That the City of Temple City, County of Los Angeles, State of California, is a duly incorporated general law city under and pursuant to the laws of the State of California.

SECTION 2. That County Lighting Maintenance District No. 1608 is a district established by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles pursuant to and under the provisions of Part 1 of Division 7 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California. That a portion of the district lies within the City limits of the City of Temple City.

SECTION 3. The City Council of the City of Temple City does hereby request the exclusion of such territory of the district which is now included within the City limits and territorial jurisdiction of the City of Temple City is withdrawn from the district.

SECTION 4. The City Council further requests that all property acquired for the District and all unencumbered funds on the date of withdrawal, including all taxes levied and collected by the District after the date of withdrawal on property withdrawn from the District, be divided between the City of Temple City and the remaining District in proportion to the assessed value of the real property of the territory so withdrawn and the portion remaining. That such action be done in the manner and method as prescribed by Section 5853 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California.

SECTION 5. The City Clerk shall certify to the adoption of this Resolution.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 17th day of February 1970.

Sgd/ Wm. A. Harker
Mayor
of the City of Temple City

ATTEST:

Lucille M. Bullard
Chief Deputy City Clerk

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the City Council of the City of Temple City at a regular meeting held on the 17th day of February, 1970, by the following vote of the Council:

AYES: Councilmen- Beckley, Briggs, Merritt, Tyrell, Harker
NOES: Councilmen- None
ABSENT: Councilmen- None

Lucille M. Bullard
Chief Deputy City Clerk

December 31, 1969

Mr. Martin C. Rohrke
State Board of Equalization
Sacramento, California

Dear Mr. Rohrke:

CITY OF TEMPLE CITY-WITHDRAWALS
FROM COUNTY LIGHTING AND COUNTY
LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICTS

On October 7, 1969, the City Council of the City of Temple City passed the two resolutions immediately following, withdrawing territories within the city from the lighting maintenance districts indicated, as provided in Section 5051 of the Streets and Highways Code. The effective date of these two withdrawals is December 30, 1969, the date that modification of lighting contracts involved were approved by the Board of Supervisors.

1. Resolution No. 69-957, withdrawing territory from County Lighting Maintenance District No. 540.
2. Resolution No. 69-958, withdrawing territory from County Lighting Maintenance District No. 1395.

On October 7, 1969, the City Council of the City of Temple City passed the two resolutions immediately following, withdrawing territories within the city from the lighting districts indicated, as provided in Section 19290 of the Streets and Highways Code. The effective date of these two withdrawals is December 30, 1969, the date that modification of lighting contracts involved were approved by the Board of Supervisors.

1. Resolution No. 69-956, withdrawing territory from Rosemead Lighting District.

Mr. Martin C. Rohrke

December 31, 1969
Page 2

2. Resolution No. 69-959, withdrawing territory from Longden Lighting District.

Complying with the provisions of Section 19290 of the Streets and Highways Code, certified copies of said resolutions were filed as follows:

County Assessor	December 5, 1969
Board of Supervisors	December 2, 1969
State Board of Equalization	December 2, 1969

These statements, with the enclosed maps and resolutions containing descriptions of the territories involved, are submitted to you for filing in compliance with the provisions of Section 54900 et seq. of the Government Code.

Very truly yours,

John A. Lambie
COUNTY ENGINEER

Original Signed

Ira H. Alexander
Division Engineer

IHA:VA-sj 9
Enclosures

cc: Philip E. Watson, Co. Assr.
R. B. Dickson, Dep. Assr.
I. L. Morhar
H. R. Kroll
C. E. Tyler
J. R. Passarella
Karl Koski, City Clerk

dc: R. B. Dickson
File (9)

ajg

DESCRIPTION OF TERRITORY WITHDRAWN FROM
ROSEMEAD LIGHTING DISTRICT BY RESOLUTION
NO. 69-956 OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY

Pursuant to Resolution No. 69-956 of the City of Temple City adopted October 7, 1969, all of the portion of the Rosemead Lighting District lying within the boundaries of the City of Temple City was withdrawn.

Inasmuch as there were lighting contracts involved, and they have been modified as required by Section 19234 of the Streets and Highways Code, the withdrawals is effective as of

cc: Description Book
Light. File
City Annex. File

December 31, 1969

DESCRIPTION OF TERRITORY WITHDRAWN FROM COUNTY
LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 540 BY RESOLUTION
NO. 69-957 OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY

Pursuant to Resolution No. 69-957 of the City of Temple City adopted October 7, 1969, and filed with the Board of Supervisors on December 2, 1969, all of the portion of County Lighting Maintenance District No. 540 lying within the boundaries of the City of Temple City was withdrawn.

The effective date of withdrawal was _____ when
modification of existing contracts was approved by the Board
of Supervisors, in compliance with the provisions of Section
5847 of the Streets and Highways Code.

cc: Description Book
City Annex. File
Light. Maint. File

DESCRIPTION OF TERRITORY WITHDRAWN FROM
COUNTY LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 1395
BY RESOLUTION NO. 69-958 OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE
CITY

Pursuant to Resolution No. 69-958 of the City of Temple City adopted October 7, 1969, and filed with the Board of Supervisors on December 2, 1969, all of the portion of County Lighting Maintenance District No. 1395 lying within the boundaries of the City of Temple City was withdrawn.

The effective date of withdrawal was _____ when modification of existing contracts was approved by the Board of Supervisors, in compliance with the provisions of Section 5847 of the Streets and Highways Code.

cc: Description Book
City Annex File
Light. Maint. File

FILED 11/18/69


DESCRIPTION OF TERRITORY WITHDRAWN FROM
LONGDEN LIGHTING DISTRICT BY RESOLUTION
NO. 69-959 OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY

Pursuant to Resolution No. 69-959 of the City of Temple City adopted October 7, 1969, all of the portion of the Longden Lighting District lying within the boundaries of the City of Temple City was withdrawn.

Inasmuch as there were lighting districts involved, and they have been modified as required by Section 19234 of the Streets and Highways Code, the withdrawals is effective as of

cc: Description Book
Light. File
City Annex. File

DEC 11 1969



Mayor

WILLIAM A. HARKER

Councilmen

PAUL W. BECKLEY

KENNETH C. BRIGGS

LOUIS W. MERRITT

JACK R. TYRELL

City Manager

KARL L. KOSKI

5938 NO. KAUFFMAN AVE. • TEMPLE CITY • CALIFORNIA 91780 • (213) 285-2171

December 17, 1969

Department of County Engineer
108 West Second Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

Attention: Mapping Division
Special District Section

Gentlemen:

RE: WITHDRAWAL OF CERTAIN AREAS OF FOUR (4)
COUNTY LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICTS WITHIN
THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY

Pursuant to your request, enclosed please find boundary outline map of the corporate limits of the City of Temple City, indicating portions of four (4) county lighting maintenance districts to be withdrawn from the city.

In addition to Resolution No. 69-955, which you have already received, forming a city-wide lighting district in the City of Temple City, we are enclosing Resolutions as follows:

- Resolution No. 69-956 - withdrawing from Rosemead Lighting District
- Resolution No. 69-959 - withdrawing from Longden Lighting District
- Resolution No. 69-957 - withdrawing from County Lighting Maintenance District No. 540 ✓
- Resolution No. 69-958 - withdrawing from County Lighting Maintenance District No. 1395

I trust that this information will satisfy your purposes. If you have any questions, feel free to call.

Sincerely,

Karl L. Koski
Karl L. Koski
City Manager

Encs.

RESOLUTION NO. 69-956

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY
OF TEMPLE CITY WITHDRAWING CERTAIN TERRITORY
FROM THE ROSEMEAD LIGHTING DISTRICT.

WHEREAS, the Rosemead Lighting District was formed under the provisions of Part 4, Division 14 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, a portion of the above-named lighting district lies within the boundaries of the City of Temple City by reason of incorporation;

NOW, THEREFORE, the City Council of the City of Temple City does resolve as follows:

SECTION 1. Pursuant to Section 19290 of Part 4 of Division 14 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California, all of the portion of the Rosemead Lighting District lying within the boundaries of the City of Temple City as it existed on October 7, 1969, is hereby withdrawn.

SECTION 2. All property acquired for the District and all unencumbered funds on the date of withdrawal, including all taxes levied and collected by the District in any year in which taxes are levied and collected by the District, shall be divided between the City of Temple City and the District in proportion to the assessed value of the real property of the territory so withdrawn and the portion remaining, in the manner and method prescribed by Section 19290 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California.

SECTION 3. The City Clerk is hereby directed to file copies of this resolution with the State Board of Equalization, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles, and the Assessor of the County of Los Angeles.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 7th day of October, 1969.

William A. Harker

Mayor
of the City of Temple City

ATTEST:

Karl L. Koski

City Clerk

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the City Council of the City of Temple City at a regular meeting held on the 7th day of October, 1969, by the following vote of the Council.

AYES: Councilmen- Beckley, Briggs, Merritt, Tyrell, Harker
NOES: Councilmen- None
ABSENT: Councilmen- None

Karl L. Koski

City Clerk of the
City of Temple City, California

RESOLUTION NO. 69-957

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, WITHDRAWING THAT PORTION OF COUNTY LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 540 WHICH IS INCLUDED WITHIN THE TERRITORIAL LIMITS OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY.

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY DOES RESOLVE:

SECTION 1. That the City of Temple City, County of Los Angeles, State of California, is a duly incorporated general law city under and pursuant to the laws of the State of California.

SECTION 2. That County Lighting Maintenance District No. 540 is a district established by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles pursuant to and under the provisions of Part 1 of Division 7 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California. That a portion of the district lies within the City limits of the City of Temple City.

SECTION 3. The City Council of the City of Temple City does hereby request the exclusion of such territory of the district which is now included within the City limits and territorial jurisdiction of the City of Temple City is withdrawn from the district.

SECTION 4. The City Council further requests that all property acquired for the District and all unencumbered funds on the date of withdrawal, including all taxes levied and collected by the District after the date of withdrawal on property withdrawn from the District, be divided between the City of Temple City and the remaining District in proportion to the assessed value of the real property of the territory so withdrawn and the portion remaining. That such action be done in the manner and method as prescribed by Section 5853 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California.

SECTION 5. The City Clerk shall certify to the adoption of this Resolution.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 7th day of October, 1969.

William A. Harker
Mayor
of the City of Temple City

ATTEST:

Karl L. Koski
City Clerk

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the City Council of the City of Temple City at a regular meeting held on the 7th day of October, 1969, by the following vote of the Council:

AYES: Councilmen- Beckley, Briggs, Merritt, Tyrell, Harker
NOES: Councilmen- None
ABSENT: Councilmen- None

Karl L. Koski
City Clerk of the
City of Temple City, California

RESOLUTION NO. 69-958

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, WITHDRAWING THAT PORTION OF COUNTY LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 1395 WHICH IS INCLUDED WITHIN THE TERRITORIAL LIMITS OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY.

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY DOES RESOLVE:

SECTION 1. That the City of Temple City, County of Los Angeles, State of California, is a duly incorporated general law city under and pursuant to the laws of the State of California.

SECTION 2. That County Lighting Maintenance District No. 1395 is a district established by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles pursuant to and under the provisions of Part 1 of Division 7 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California. That a portion of the district lies within the City limits of the City of Temple City.

SECTION 3. The City Council of the City of Temple City does hereby request the exclusion of such territory of the district which is now included within the City limits and territorial jurisdiction of the City of Temple City is withdrawn from the district.

SECTION 4. The City Council further requests that all property acquired for the District and all unencumbered funds on the date of withdrawal, including all taxes levied and collected by the District after the date of withdrawal on property withdrawn from the District, be divided between the City of Temple City and the remaining District in proportion to the assessed value of the real property of the territory so withdrawn and the portion remaining. That such action be done in the manner and method as prescribed by Section 5853 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California.

SECTION 5. The City Clerk shall certify to the adoption of this Resolution.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 7th day of October, 1969.

Wm. A. Harker

Mayor
of the City of Temple City

ATTEST:

Karl L. Koski
City Clerk

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the City Council of the City of Temple City at a regular meeting held on the 7th day of October, 1969, by the following vote of the Council:

AYES: Councilmen- Beckley, Briggs, Merritt, Tyrell, Harker
NOES: Councilmen- None
ABSENT: Councilmen- None

Karl L. Koski
City Clerk of the
City of Temple City, California

RESOLUTION NO. 69-959

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF TEMPLE CITY WITHDRAWING CERTAIN
TERRITORY FROM THE LONGDEN LIGHTING
DISTRICT.

WHEREAS, the Longden Lighting District was formed under the provisions of Part 4, Division 14 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, a portion of the above-named lighting district lies within the boundaries of the City of Temple City by reason of incorporation;

NOW, THEREFORE, the City Council of the City of Temple City does resolve as follows:

SECTION 1. Pursuant to Section 19290 of Part 4 of Division 14 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California, all of the portion of the Longden Lighting District lying within the boundaries of the City of Temple City as it existed on October 7, 1969, is hereby withdrawn.

SECTION 2. All property acquired for the District and all unencumbered funds on the date of withdrawal, including all taxes levied and collected by the District in any year in which taxes are levied and collected by the District, shall be divided between the City of Temple City and the District in proportion to the assessed value of the real property of the territory so withdrawn and the portion remaining, in the manner and method prescribed by Section 19290 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California.

SECTION 3. The City Clerk is hereby directed to file copies of this resolution with the State Board of Equalization, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles, and the Assessor of the County of Los Angeles.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 7th day of October, 1969.

Wm. A. Harker

Mayor
of the City of Temple City

ATTEST:

Karl L. Koski

City Clerk

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the City Council of the City of Temple City at a regular meeting held on the 7th day of October, 1969, by the following vote of the Council:

AYES:	Councilmen-	Beckley, Briggs, Merritt, Tyrell, Harker
NOES:	Councilmen-	None
ABSENT:	Councilmen-	None

Karl L. Koski

City Clerk of the
City of Temple City, California

REPLY ACTN INFO

REFD TO

CITY OF
TEMPLE CITY

JUL 21 9 55 AM '60

CITY MANAGER:
WILLIAM WOOLLETT, JR.

INCORPORATED MAY 25, 1960

9664 E. LAS TUNAS DRIVE

July 19, 1960

REPT TO PREP REPLY

CITY ATTORNEY:

HENRY W. SHATFORD

JAL HTB

CITY TREASURER:

WALTER E. GRIESMEYER

LBK WHK

DEPUTY CITY CLERK:

MARJORIE A. WOODRUFF

MAYOR:

MERRILL J. FITZJOHN

MAYOR PRO TEM:

LOUIS W. MERRITT

COUNCILMEN:

T. DONALD BUCHAN

HOWARD M. DICKASON

JACK R. TYRELL

*J.M. requested
7/21/60*

Richard F. Flickwir
Special Districts Section
County Engineer's Office
108 West Second Street
Los Angeles 12, California

Dear Sir:

We would appreciate your preparing a map showing the boundaries of all Special Districts within the City and in the surrounding unincorporated territory. The boundaries of the unincorporated territory we are concerned with are as follows:

North--Huntington Drive;
West--San Gabriel, San Marino and Pasadena city limits;
South--cities of Rosemead and El Monte;
East--Arcadia.

DUE 7-22-60			
DIV	ACTION	INFO	ATTACH
ADM			
ARC			
ASM			
AVI			
B&S			
BUS			
CSD			
IW			
MAP			
SAN			
SUR			
WWU			
SGVR			

If it is at all possible, we would appreciate your having this map prepared by the afternoon of July 22nd. If it is necessary, I will be happy to send someone down to pick the map up. *

Sincerely yours,

William Woollett, Jr.
William Woollett, Jr.
City Manager

WW:ln
CC:Norris Bravender
Regional Engineer

7-21-60 MK

* *Map completed & picked up*
7/25/60

GENERAL BOUNDARIES
CITY OF TEMPLE CITY

Bounded on the south by the Cities of Rosemead and
El Monte and by Lower Azusa Road.

Bounded on the extreme east by Double Drive.

Bounded on the northeast by the City of Arcadia.

Bounded on the extreme north by Camino Real Avenue.

Bounded on the west by Rosemead Boulevard.

Incorporation Foes to Map Plans Friday

TEMPLE CITY.—Incorporation forces will gather their forces together Friday to map out a campaign they expect to grow into what they call "another 1934."

The previous attempt to incorporate a city here failed last year at the polls by a 5-4 vote. The question will come up again in a special election.

Carl Stanley, 4835 Temple Road, spokesman for the forces that has fought incorporation informally for some time, said last night the forces will exist for a

long time. He said that in other cities are by other forces. Stanley said this campaign is not one-sided. They don't know other cities are not interested in this community. That misinformation is a sort of thing we propose to change.

The Friday session will be held starting 8 p.m. in room M2 at Temple City High School. Many of those who fought against cityhood in 1934 will be present.

The first object of the meeting, according to Mrs. Joseph McEachin of 6460 Livia Ave., will be to elect officers and get the campaign rolling. Stanley favors a wide distribution of anti-cityhood literature to counter balance the placards and bumper strips and card circulars handed out by the other side.

"The slogan is 'I think the people would like to know what this is all about. It is a thing that is a conspiracy. I think the people will be interested to learn that we probably don't have the revenue to support it without local taxes.'"

A public session will be held Friday afternoon. Stanley sizes that all citizens welcome to attend and ask questions.

Candidates seeking seats on the City Council will have the same ballot as the city council election. About 25,000 persons live in Temple City.

Incorporation forces propose to establish a city which will obtain police, fire and other services from the county by contract. They maintain these can be paid for out of the remittance of the state tax fund.

825.2N 5-11-60

Temple City Celebration Plans Merge

TEMPLE CITY — A merchants committee, formed two weeks ago to program a special celebration to kick off cityhood for this community, cancelled its plans last night and decided to "make do" with the inauguration ceremonies.

The merchants committee, headed by F. M. (Pappy) Jackson, had originally planned a day-long celebration, including a carnival and parade. A second group headed by Carl R. Clark, local insurance broker, was to plan the inauguration ceremony.

After a lengthy discussion last night, the merchants committee decided to supply refreshments for the inauguration ceremony. The ceremonies will be held at the Temple City High School athletic field May 25. The city's first council meeting has been set for that night, in the school cafeteria.

Clark said he has invited mayors of all San Gabriel Valley communities, the board of supervisors and Los Angeles city officials to participate in the inauguration. Temple City officially becomes Los Angeles county's 69th city on May 25.

825.2N 5-11-60

Temple City Hires Valley Administrator

TEMPLE CITY — This embryo city will have William Woollett Jr. as its first city administrator when it assumes city status in the next few weeks.

Woollett, now city administrator of nearby Rosemead, will move his desk a couple of miles north when he takes over his new post.

Leaves County Post

It will be his second municipal job. He left a county post as assistant to Arthur G. Will, city-county co-ordinator, last summer to head Rosemead's city government at a salary of \$10,000 a year.

He will receive \$14,000 in his future position as head of Temple City administration. The exact date of the community's legal assumption of municipal status isn't set yet but probably will be May 24 or the day following.

825.2N 5-11-60

Temple City Cityhood Now Official

TEMPLE CITY—The Board of Supervisors yesterday canvassed results of the election in which Temple City became the 69th municipality of Los Angeles County.

"Here we've been calling Temple City a city for years and now it's legal," remarked Supervisor Kenneth Hahn.

On hand to receive congratulations of the board were Temple City's newly-elected councilmen—Merrill J. Fitzjohn, Jack R. Tyrell, T. Donald Buchan, Howard M. Dickason and Louis W. Merritt.

Final figures submitted by Registrar of Voters Benjamin S. Hite showed 3,952 persons voted in favor of incorporation to 2,893 against. Turnout at the polls was 57.45 per cent.

Chairman Frank G. Bonelli noted two previous cityhood attempts had bogged down and that the "third time must be a charm."

Bonelli expressed confidence the city would operate on a sound financial basis.

69TH CITY OFFICIALLY JOINS COUNTY

TEMPLE CITY—By official vote of the board of supervisors, the community known as Temple City actually became a city Tuesday—the 69th incorporation in the county.

Supervisor Frank G. Bonelli introduced the newly-elected five-man council following the certification of the recent election at which 3,952 voters approved and 2,893 disapproved the incorporation.

Members of the council are Merrill J. Fitzjohn, Jack R. Tyrell, Louis W. Merritt, T. Donald Buchan and Howard M. Dickason.

Two previous incorporations failed to be passed.

Times - 12-22-59

Temple City Incorporation Study Awaited

Temple City, Dec. 21—A report on the validity of petitions for proposing the incorporation of this community is expected to be given to the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors tomorrow by Gordon Nesvig, clerk of the board.

Petitions, submitted Nov. 19, have the required number of valid signatures, the board will call a public hearing on the issue prior to submitting it to a vote here.

A previous effort to incorporate Temple City failed at an election three years ago.

Sam Harris, chairman of the incorporation committee, said the petitions had 3,500 signatures and that only 2,500 are required. This community, with an assessed property valuation of \$36 million, has 25,000 residents in an area of four square miles.

PAS SN
12-29-59

Cityhood Hearing Due Feb. 4

TEMPLE CITY—A date of Feb. 4 for the open hearing on this community's proposed incorporation was to be set by the County Board of Supervisors today.

The supervisors took official notice a week ago that cityhood petitions showed Temple City property owners sufficiently in favor of the proposal.

At the hearing recommended for Feb. 4, those on the other side will have a chance to protest.

To halt the incorporation proceedings, however, they will have to come in with signatures showing owners of 51 per cent of the property are opposed.

Otherwise the issue will go as expected, to a public ballot.

Cityhood forces met last night in the offices of Carl Clark, Temple City Insurance man and veteran incorporation proponent, to map strategy for the election campaign.

Ballo for City Assured

Temple City Filed Bid

TEMPLE The question of cityhood for this community of 100 is on its way to the ballot box.

Patients apparently are dying far more than the national average, and surgeons are not doing as well as an-

...the
...the
...we're
...don't

Once the bills have been officially passed by the Board of Supervisors, they will be sent to the mayor's office. The valid signatures will then be recorded and the invalid weeded out.

A hearing will then be called and those against cityhood will have chance to register.

None of the above is Harris
confident that the salt
the market is good
which
months

An election was held in February.

"We planned to have the Clark parade coincide with the anniversary of the founding of the city, but the anniversary of the founding of the city is in the month of June, and the Clark parade is in the month of July. The parade should be about the time of the anniversary. The Clark parade is a week later."

"From both events, we have
both events, we have
together, we have

minimum in cash or in support at 40 per cent of the property owners and 35 per cent of the assessed value. Only 25 per cent of each is required.

"We stopped tabulating," Harris said, "when we reached the total \$3,313,143 of assessed value Wednesday night. The total for the whole of Temple City is estimated at slightly less than \$40,000,000. I wouldn't be surprised if they find that we have more than \$45,000,000."

Citizens are expected to establish a sense of responsibility which will protect life, health and property from fires through cooperation with the county Board of Fire Leaders. Citizens are expected to believe in the fire service, to support the fire service, and to contribute sufficient to the fire service.

"Representation without local taxation" has been one of the chief slogans of the campaign.

A previous attempt at incorporation failed three years ago by a 5-3 margin.

New Cityhood Move Expected in Temple City

TEMPLE CITY—Those who forecast that if Rosemead incorporated, Temple City would follow suit, appear certain to see the campaign get going within the next month.

Merrill Fitzjohn, a jeweler who has long urged cityhood here, said he expects some "preliminary action" in a matter of days.

He defined "preliminary action" as a meeting of some of Temple City's incorporation veterans to map initial strategy.

"After that," he said, "we would call a meeting involving everybody who would like to work with us. Then we could elect permanent officers and take definite action.

"You can't do anything definite," Fitzjohn explained, "until you include all the forces in the community available. Otherwise you can't defend them. They will be lost because they have been left out."

He said there is no doubt Rosemead's cityhood victory at the polls Tuesday has added tremendous impetus to Temple City's aspirations.

"My telephone is ringing all the time," Fitzjohn said. "The question is, when are we going to do something about incorporating."

Temple City tried once before, about three years ago, a incorporation lost then by a five to three margin.

But Rosemead came back to win last Tuesday by something

approaching a two-to-one margin, after suffering two earlier defeats in the past five years.

A major factor apparently is the success of other "Lake-wood Plan" cities, Duarte and La Puente in particular, which proved it possible to operate without local taxes. They obtain services from the County and pay for them with money from state subventions and grants.

Fitzjohn said he hoped to see an election on Temple

City incorporation by February.

"The Camellia Festival comes in February," he explained. "This is our most spectacular community activity, and through it Temple City is known everywhere in the Southland. If we became a city in February, the anniversary would always coincide with the Camellia Festival."

Fitzjohn said he will not be a candidate for city council, "nor for any other office."

Page 5, N, 11-4-59

Temple City Groups Drive for Cityhood

TEMPLE CITY—All the service clubs in this city will mobilize forces tomorrow night in a massive effort to shove the incorporation signature campaign over the top.

They will gather at 7 p.m. in the Chamber of Commerce office, 9618 Las Tunas Dr.

With the territorial immunity deadline scarcely two weeks away, leaders in the cityhood movement urged all interested persons to turn out for the doorbell ringing session.

Merrill Fitzjohn, long time home rule proponent, estimated last night that some 1,500 signatures may still be needed.

The goal is 3,600 before the Nov. 19 deadline.

Fitzjohn was confident that the petitions will be filed long before then.

"The reception has been great," he said. "Almost everywhere our workers have gone they have found the people overwhelmingly in favor of establishing a city."

He expressed the hope that tomorrow's push would not only be a big one, but the "final one."

The current campaign is Temple City's second attempt to incorporate. The first one lost at the polls about three years ago by a 5 to 3 margin.

About 25,000 people live within the limits of the proposed city.

Temple City Pushes Final Vote Signup

By BILL MAYER

TEMPLE CITY — Incorporation leaders, toting the effects of their current signature drive expressed confidence last night that it was over the top.

But they were looking for a little insurance before the deadline Thursday night.

Merrill Fitzjohn, a committee leader in the cityhood drive three years ago as well as now, estimated signatures on hand representing \$2,500,000 in assessed value.

"Nobody knows exactly what we have right now," Fitzjohn explained. "Some of our petitions have not come in. There are twenty persons in the field getting more signatures."

Pat Conklin, Temple City incorporator committee secretary, said there is no question the petitions contains a part of the required twenty-five per cent of community's assessed value.

She added that the petitions will be filed before deadline, probably tomorrow.

A successful set of petitions will have to contain signatures, representing \$2,497,000 in assessed property value. Chairman Sam Harris said he hoped Temple City could produce support of more than \$3,000,000.

The county assessor's office will check the signatures. If the committee comes up with the necessary support, a hearing will be called and eventually an election will be set.

A final incorporation committee meeting to obtain totally accurate results of the petition drive will be held in the Chamber of Commerce office tonight.

The proposed city has a population estimated at 25,000.

Temple City's previous incorporation attempt, in 1956, lost by a 5 to 3 margin at the polls.

THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

501 HALL OF RECORDS
LOS ANGELES 12, CALIFORNIA

GORDON T. NESVIG CLERK OF THE BOARD

August 31, 1959

COUNTY ENGINEER

REPLY _____ GTN _____ INFO _____

REFD TO

SEP 2 3 29 PM '59

REPT TO _____ REPLY _____

JAL _____

LBA _____

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

FRANK G. BONELLI
CHAIRMAN
KENNETH HAHN
ERNEST E. DEBS
BURTON W. CHACE
WARREN M. DORN

Honorable City Council
City of El Monte
City Hall
El Monte, California

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the provisions of Section 34302.5 of the Government Code, enclosed find a description of the area proposed to be incorporated as the City of **Temple City**.

The Notice of Intention to circulate a petition in a certain unincorporated territory of Los Angeles County for incorporation of a new city to be known as the City of **Temple City**, has been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the proponents at 2:55 p.m. on August 21, 1959.

Very truly yours,

Gordon T. Nesvig
GORDON T. NESVIG

GTN:rl


Enc.

cc: Supervisor **Frank G. Bonelli**
J. A. Lambie, County Engineer
— R. F. Flickwir, Co. Engr. Office
Proponent **Samuel E. Harris**

Original letter also sent to

City of **Arcadia**
Rosemead
San Gabriel
San Marino
Pasadena
Alhambra
South Pasadena
Monterey Park

South El Monte
Industry
Baldwin Park
Irwindale
Monrovia
Duarte
Sierra Madre





Gordon T. Nesvig
Secretary

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
COUNTY BOUNDARY COMMISSION

501 Hall of Records
Los Angeles 12

September 2, 1959

REPLY _____
REF ID: _____
SEP 3 4 30 PM '59
REPT. TO: _____
DATE: _____
LOC: _____

MEMBERS

Frank G. Bonelli
Chairman
John R. Quinn
County Assessor
J. M. Lowrey
Auditor-Controller
Milton Breivogel
Director of
Regional Planning
John A. Lambie
County Engineer

Mr. Howard M. Dickason
Acting Chairman
5340 Temple City Boulevard
Temple City, California

Re: Proposed incorporation of
area known as Temple City

Dear Mr. Dickason:

At the meeting held on September 2, 1959, the County Boundary Commission reviewed the boundary description submitted by you on August 21, 1959, of the area known as Temple City, proposed to be incorporated as a General Law City.

This incorporation is a revision of the proposed City of Temple City as filed with the Commission on August 14, 1959.

The boundaries submitted conform with record lines and existing and proposed city boundaries. A small island of unincorporated territory would be created by the boundaries of this proposed incorporation and the Cities of Rosemead and El Monte, and four additional islands by this proposed incorporation and the City of El Monte.

The County Engineer called to the attention of the Commission that certain minor changes were desirable to clarify and perfect some of the references required to provide the definiteness and certainty intended under provisions of Section 34303.5 of the Government Code.

The County Boundary Commission approved the boundary description submitted and the alternate description recommended by the County Engineer and respectfully suggests the alternate description be used in all proceedings relating to the proposed incorporation.

A copy of the approved alternate description and a list of special districts involved are enclosed for your use.


Very truly yours,

Gordon T. Nesvig

GTN:rl
Enc.

cc: J. A. Lambie
County Engineer
— R. F. Flickwir
Co. Engr. Office

GORDON T. NESVIG, Secretary
COUNTY BOUNDARY COMMISSION





Gordon T. Nesvig
Secretary

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
COUNTY BOUNDARY COMMISSION

501 Hall of Records
Los Angeles 12

August 21, 1959

MEMBERS

Frank G. Bonelli
Chairman
John R. Quinn
County Assessor
J. M. Lowrey
Auditor-Controller
Milton Breivogel
Director of
Regional Planning
John A. Lambie
County Engineer

Re: Proposed Incorporation of
the City of **Temple City**

Mr. J. A. Lambie
County Engineer
108 West Second Street

Attention: Mr. R. F. Flickwir
Mapping Division

CO. BDY. COMM.

SEP 2 1959

Dear Mr. Lambie:

Attached is a communication from **Howard M. Dickason, Chairman, 5340 Temple City Boulevard, Temple City,** requesting the County Boundary Commission to check as to definiteness and certainty, in accordance with Section 34303.5 of the Government Code, the boundaries of the above designated territory proposed to be formed as the City of **Temple City**.

Will you kindly review the description and map attached, and return them with your recommendation to the County Boundary Commission.

Very truly yours,

Gordon T. Nesvig
GORDON T. NESVIG

GTN:rl
Attach.

ENTERED
AUG 24 1959

September 1, 1959

Mr. Frank G. Bonelli, Chairman
County Boundary Commission
501 Hall of Records

Attention Mr. G. T. Nesvig, Secretary

Dear Sir:

CITY OF TEMPLE CITY
PROPOSED INCORPORATION

In compliance with your request of August 21, we have reviewed the submitted legal description of the proposed boundaries of this incorporation and report as follows:

1. This incorporation is a revision of the proposed City of Temple City as filed with the Commission on August 14, 1959.
2. The boundaries conform with record lines and existing and proposed city boundaries.
3. A small island of unincorporated territory would be created by the boundaries of this proposed incorporation and the Cities of Rosemead and El Monte, and four additional islands by this proposed incorporation and the City of El Monte.
4. Other boundaries are shown in relation to the proposed boundaries of this incorporation on the enclosed map.
5. Although the legal description as submitted can be approved as to definiteness and certainty in accordance with Section 34303.5 of the Government Code, certain minor changes are desirable to clarify or perfect some of the references.
6. Because of item 5 above, we have prepared the enclosed alternate description which we recommend that the Commission approve and forward to the proponents.

All original papers regarding this matter are enclosed.

Yours sincerely,

John A. Lambie
COUNTY ENGINEER

ORIGINAL SIGNED

L. D. Moore
Division Engineer

LDM:JEC-av
Encls.

THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

501 HALL OF RECORDS
LOS ANGELES 12, CALIFORNIA

GORDON T. NESVIG CLERK OF THE BOARD

COUNTY ENGINEER

REPLY ACTN INFO
REFD TO

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

FRANK G. BONELLI
CHAIRMAN
KENNETH HAHN
ERNEST E. DEBS
BURTON W. CHACE
WARREN M. DORN

MAY 26 4 21 PM '60

REPT TO PREP REPLY
JAL HTB
LAK WHK

May 25, 1960

Honorable City Council
City of Temple City

Gentlemen:

Enclosed please find an Affidavit of Completion for the incorporation of the City of Temple City which was filed with the Los Angeles County Recorder at 2:40 p.m., Wednesday, May 25, 1960. The instrument number for this filing is 4303.

You will notice that we are enclosing three identical sets of documents; one to be retained in your files and two for your use in complying with the instructions set forth under Section 54900 et seq. of the Government Code. The Board order declaring the results of the election for the incorporation of your city was filed with the Secretary of State at 2:00 p.m., May 25, 1960.

On behalf of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles, I would like to extend to you the Board's best wishes on the incorporation of the sixty-ninth city of the County of Los Angeles.


Very truly yours,

GORDON T. NESVIG

GTN:hk

cc: Mr. Arthur G. Will
County-City Coordinator

— County Engineer
Special Districts



THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

501 HALL OF RECORDS

LOS ANGELES 12, CALIFORNIA

GORDON T. NESVIG CLERK OF THE BOARD

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

FRANK G. BONELLI
CHAIRMAN
KENNETH HAHN
ERNEST E. DEBS
BURTON W. CHACE
WARREN M. DORN

TUESDAY, MAY 10, 1960

The Board met in regular session. Present: Supervisors Frank G. Bonelli, Chairman presiding, Kenneth Hahn and Burton W. Chace; and Gordon T. Nesvig, Clerk. Absent: Supervisors Ernest E. Debs and Warren M. Dorn.

* * * *

27 AND 28

IN RE INCORPORATION OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY AS A GENERAL LAW CITY: CANVASS OF RETURNS OF ELECTION, ORDER DECLARING TERRITORY INCORPORATED AND DECLARING COUNCILMEN ELECTED, AND RELATED ORDER.

The Clerk of the Board having reported that the returns have been received from the election held on the 26th day of April, 1960, for the purpose of determining whether certain unincorporated territory in the County of Los Angeles, State of California should be incorporated as a general law city under the name and style of "City of Temple City," on motion of Supervisor Hahn, unanimously carried, it is ordered that the official result of said election be declared as follows:

	<u>Vote</u>	<u>Absentee Vote</u>	<u>Total Vote Cast</u>
Number of votes cast at said election	6,912	37	6,949
Number of votes cast for the incorporation	3,926	26	3,952
Number of votes cast against the incorporation	2,883	10	2,893
Number of votes cast for candidates for offices of City Council			
T. DONALD BUCHAN	2,652	20	2,672
GRANT BOURBON	1,925	10	1,935
LOREN I. BENNISON	816	6	822
HOWARD M. DICKASON	2,449	13	2,462
MURILL J. FIFEJOHN	3,230	22	3,252
WILLIAM A. HARKER	1,962	15	1,977
W. DON HOWARD	1,885	10	1,895
ED HOWER	1,325	4	1,329
EUGENE A. (MAC) HADKAY	550	3	553
LOUIS W. (LOU) HENRIETT	1,970	11	1,981
WILLIAM C. FURLEY	1,814	4	1,818
JACK R. TERRELL	3,094	15	3,109
Scattering	21	-	21

And on motion of Supervisor Hahn, unanimously carried, the following order is hereby adopted:

WHEREAS, on the 24th day of November, 1959, there was presented to the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles, at a regular meeting of the Board, a petition for the proposed incorporation of a general law city to be known as the City of Temple City, said petition setting forth the boundaries of said proposed city as approved by the County Boundary Commission, stating the approximate number of inhabitants therein, and providing for all city officers, except councilmen, to be appointed by the city council, under the provisions of Section 34300 and following of the Government

Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, there was attached to said petition the affidavit of at least three qualified signers stating that the signatures to the petition are genuine; and

WHEREAS, prior to circulation of the said petition, the proposal for the incorporation of the said proposed city was submitted and reported upon to proponents by the County Boundary Commission with respect to the definiteness and certainty of the proposed boundaries, and the said County Boundary Commission on September 2, 1959, did approve said boundaries; and

WHEREAS, the territory described in said petition consists of a portion of the County of Los Angeles claimed by the proponents to contain an estimated population of 25,000 persons and not incorporated as a city; and

WHEREAS, upon presentation of said petition said Board adopted an order instructing its Clerk to ascertain the sufficiency of the said petition and report to the Board of Supervisors thereon within thirty days; and

WHEREAS, on the 22nd day of December, 1959, the said Clerk reported to said Board that the said petition accurately describes the boundaries of the proposed city and is signed by more than twenty-five per cent of the owners in fee, or purchasers under written agreement to buy, of land situated within the limits of the proposed incorporation, representing more than twenty-five per cent of the value of the land included in the proposed city limits, as such value is shown on the last equalized assessment roll of the County of Los Angeles; and

WHEREAS, said Board on the 22nd day of December, 1959, did adopt an order finding said petition to be signed by the requisite number of qualified signers and to describe correctly the boundaries of the proposed city, and directed its Clerk to accept the amount of money fixed by the Board to defray the cost of publication of the notice of hearing and notice of election; and

WHEREAS, on the 29th day of December, 1959, said Clerk reported to said Board the receipt of said amount of money necessary to defray the cost of said publication; and

WHEREAS, at a meeting on the 29th day of December, 1959, the Board of Supervisors did make an order fixing the time for hearing on said petition, which time was fixed as the 4th day of February, 1960, at the hour of 9:30 o'clock a.m. on said day, and directing said Clerk to cause notice of said hearing to be published for at least two weeks before said day of hearing in The Temple City Times, a newspaper of general circulation printed and published in said County; and

WHEREAS, on the 4th day of February, 1960, said petition came on for hearing and said Board heard the same, and after said hearing said Board did adopt an order closing the hearing, finding and determining that written protests to the proposed incorporation by owners of land within the boundaries as described in the petition for the proposed incorporation represent less than 51 per cent of the total assessed valuation of the land within said boundaries, establishing the boundaries of said proposed incorporation as approved by the Boundary Commission on September 2, 1959, denying all requests for exclusion, determining that in excess of 500 registered voters reside within said boundaries, and continuing the matter to the 9th day of February, 1960, for the purpose of calling the election; and

WHEREAS, on the 9th day of February, 1960, said Board of Supervisors ordered that an election be called for the 26th day of April, 1960, to be held in the area proposed for incorporation, for the purpose of determining whether the same should become incorporated, and for the purpose of

electing councilmen, and thereafter did give due legal notice thereof in the manner and for the length of time required by law, and said notice did accurately describe the boundaries of said proposed incorporation as set forth by said Board of Supervisors, which boundaries are described as follows:

WHEREAS, in pursuance to said order, said notice, and said proceedings, an election was duly held within said boundaries on said 26th day of April, 1960, and the returns of the votes cast at said election were by said Board of Supervisors duly declared to be official at its meeting of May 10, 1960; and

WHEREAS, upon said declaration it appears that a majority of the votes cast at said election are for the incorporation of the City of Temple City:

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that said Board by its order does hereby declare that all that territory situated in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, and embraced within the boundaries hereinabove described, is duly incorporated as a general law city under the name and style of the "City of Temple City."


WHEREAS, it also appears upon the declaration of said votes that Merrill J. Fitzjohn, Jack R. Tyrell, T. Donald Buchan, Howard M. Dickason, and Louis W. Merritt, received, in the order named, the highest number of votes cast for members of the City Council:

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said Board by its order does hereby declare the aforesaid Merrill J. Fitzjohn, Jack R. Tyrell, T. Donald Buchan, Howard M. Dickason, and Louis W. Merritt, duly elected to the office of City Council of said City of Temple City.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby instructed to file the proper documents with the Secretary of State of the State of California and the County Recorder of the County of Los Angeles.

I, GORDON T. NESVIG, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of an order which was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of said County on May 10, 1960, and entered in the minutes of said Board.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the Board of Supervisors this 17th day of May, 1960.


GORDON T. NESVIG
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the
County of Los Angeles, State of California

THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

501 HALL OF RECORDS
LOS ANGELES 12, CALIFORNIA

GORDON T. NESVIG CLERK OF THE BOARD

NOTED TO

FEB 15 4 05 PM '60

CONTROLLED COPY

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

FRANK G. BONELLI
CHAIRMAN
KENNETH HAHN
ERNEST E. DEBS
BURTON W. CHACE
WARREN M. DORN

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 1960

The Board met in regular session. Present: Supervisors Frank G. Bonelli, Chairman presiding, Kenneth Hahn, Ernest E. Debs, Burton W. Chace and Warren M. Dorn; and Gordon T. Nesvig, Clerk.

* * * *

64


IN RE PROPOSED INCORPORATION OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY AS A GENERAL LAW CITY: RESOLUTION CALLING ELECTION, ESTABLISHING PRECINCTS, DESIGNATING POLLING PLACES, APPOINTING ELECTION OFFICERS, AND INSTRUCTING REGISTRAR OF VOTERS TO CONDUCT CANVASS OF VOTES.

This being the time set by this Board on February 4, 1960, for the purpose of calling an election on the proposed incorporation of the city of Temple City as a general law city, - said matter is called up; and on motion of Supervisor Dorn, unanimously carried, it is ordered that the following resolution be and the same is hereby adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles:

That an election be and the same is hereby called for Tuesday, April 26, 1960, to be held in the territory within the boundaries hereinafter set forth, for the purpose of determining whether said territory shall become incorporated as a general law city to be known as the City of Temple City, and for the purpose of electing the members of the City Council, all elective city officers of the proposed city, except councilmen, to be appointed by the City Council under the provisions of Section 34300 and following of the Government Code of the State of California.

The boundaries of the proposed corporation are defined as follows:



For the purposes of said election the Board of Supervisors hereby finds that seventeen precincts are a convenient and sufficient number, and therefore seventeen special election precincts, as hereinafter designated, are hereby established for the purpose of conducting the said election within the boundaries of the said proposed city; and the following polling places and officers for said election are hereby designated and appointed for said precincts:

A Notice shall be given of said election, which notice shall set forth an accurate description of the boundaries of the proposed city as hereinabove described, the determination that in excess of 500 registered voters reside within the area, and the date of the election as hereinabove set. Said notice shall require the voters to cast ballots containing the words "For Incorporation" and "Against Incorporation," and the names of the persons to be voted for to fill the five offices of members of the City Council. All elective city officers of said proposed city, except councilmen, shall be appointed by the City Council under the provisions of Sections 34300 and following of the Government Code of the State of California. Said election shall be conducted and officers nominated pursuant to Part 2, Division 11, of the Elections Code of the State of California. No person shall vote at the election unless he is a qualified elector of the County, enrolled upon the Great Register, and has resided within the limits of the proposed city for at least 54 days next preceding the election.


Said notice shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation printed and published within the above described boundaries, or posted in at least four places within the said boundaries, for at least two weeks prior to the election.

It is further directed that the canvass of votes shall be made by the Registrar of Voters pursuant to Section 7950 of the Elections Code.

I, GORDON T. NESVIG, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of a resolution which was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of said County on February 9, 1960, and entered in the minutes of said Board.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the Board of Supervisors this 14th day of February, 1960.

GORDON T. NESVIG, Clerk of the Board
of Supervisors of the County of
Los Angeles, State of California

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Gordon T. Nesvig", is written over a horizontal line.